

# Author Verification Using Common N-Gram Profiles of Text Documents Magdalana Jankowska, Evangelog Milios, and Vlado Kočeli

Magdalena Jankowska, Evangelos Milios, and Vlado Kešelj

Faculty of Computer Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada {jankowsk, eem, vlado} @cs.dal.ca

25th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, COLING 2014

**Our approach to the Author Verification problem** 

Author Verification problem	Set of known documents	Input:	<b>Question:</b>
Given a few samplings (possibly	by a given author		
iust one) of a person's writing.		document of	Was <i>u</i> written

was a questionable document written by the same person?

## Applications

- Forensics
- Security
- Literary research



### Our approach

A **proximity based one-class classification method** exploiting pairs of most dissimilar documents of the given authorship.

For the document dissimilarity we applied **CNG** (**Common N-Gram**) **dissimilarity** (Kešelj et al. 2003). A document is represented by a *profile:* a list of its most common n-grams (or characters or words) with their normalized frequencies.

**Ensembles** combine classifiers that differ between each other with respect to at least one of the three

**dissimilarity ratio of a "known" document**  $d_i$ measure of how much more/less dissimilar is the questioned document than the most dissimilar document by this author.



this author's document

Proximity between the questioned document and the set of known documents M(u, A) - average of dissimilarity ratios  $r(d_i, u, A)$ over all "known" documents  $d_i$ 



document representation parameters: type of the tokens for n-grams (word or character), size of n-grams, length of a profile. most dissimilar to  $d_i$ 

Classification by thresholding

Was *u* written by the same author?

Yes, iff  $M(u, A) < \theta$ 

## **Evaluation on the dataset of PAN'13 Author Identification competition**

#### **Ensembles evaluation** (after the competition)

- competitive (as compared to the best competition results) results on the entire set, and the English and Spanish subsets
- especially good results by ensembles combining character-based and word-based classifiers
- Our **competition submission** of a single classifier: ranking 5<sup>th</sup> (joint) of 18 according to accuracy, ranking 1<sup>st</sup> of 10 according to AUC.

Selected experimental	Entire set		English subset		Spanish subset		Greek subset					
results	accuracy	AUC	accuracy	AUC	accuracy	AUC	accuracy	AUC				
Our single classifier with parameters tuned on training data												
competition submission	0.682	0.793	0.733	0.839	0.720	0.859	0.600	0.711				
Our ensembles: weighted voting, all classifiers in the considered parameter space												
character based	0.729	0.764	0.833	0.830	0.800	0.859	0.567	0.582				
character and word based	0.741	0.780	0.800	0.842	0.840	0.853	0.600	0.622				
Our ensemble: weighted voting, classifiers selected based on performance on training data												
character and word based	0.788	0.805	0.800	0.857	0.840	0.853	0.733	0.687				
Methods by other PAN'13 participants (different methods in different columns)												
best results over other participants	0.753	0.735	0.800	0.837	0.840	0.926	0.833	0.824				

## **Conclusions and Future Work**

## Conclusions

- proximity based one-class classification method with promising results for authorship verification
- requires at least two samples of writing by a given author
- combining word n-gram based and character n-gram based classifiers yields best results

## **Future research directions**

- better adaptation of the method for the case of a single document of the known authorship
- analysis of the role of word n-grams and character n-grams depending on the genre of the texts, and on the topical similarity between the documents

#### Acknowledgement

This research was funded by a contract from the Boeing Company, Killam Predoctoral Scholarship, and a Collaborative Research and Development grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.